Deep Learning Evolution

Elementary Principles and Architectures

Evgeny Mitichkin (enote, Germany)

Introduction: AI, ML an DL



Introduction: History of Deep Learning



DBNs [12]

Introduction: Machine Learning - Concept



Based on:

- Benchmark and Survey of Automated Machine Learning Frameworks [22]
- Automated Machine Learning: State-of-The-Art and Open Challenges [23]

Introduction: Deep Learning - Concept



Based on: [27]

Introduction: Deep Learning - Concept



Sources: [1, 34]

Introduction: ML vs DL - Differences



Based on: [32]

Introduction: ML vs DL - Differences

- Principal differences in approaches
- Often different initial requirements and issues occurring on the way



Output

Introduction: ML vs DL - Differences



Introduction: ML vs DL – Recap



Source: [50]



Source: [51]



$$y = \varphi(\sum_{j=1}^n w_j x_j + b)$$

- x_1, \dots, x_n input signals
- w_1, \ldots, w_n synaptic weights
- φ activation function to limit the amplitude of the neuron output
- b external *bias*

Activation functions

Binary step function

 $f(x) = egin{cases} 0 & ext{for } x < 0 \ 1 & ext{for } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$



Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) And its variants



Sigmoid

$$f(x)=\sigma(x)=rac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$



 $egin{aligned} \mathsf{Softmax} \ &\sigma(\mathbf{z})_i = rac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{z_j}} ext{ for } i=1,\ldots,K ext{ and } \mathbf{z} = (z_1,\ldots,z_K) \in \mathbb{R}^K \end{aligned}$

- Values are always in the range [0,1]
- All values add up to 1



Hyperbolic tangent (Tahn)



Self-Gated activation function [42]

 $f(x) = x \cdot \sigma(x)$

where $\sigma(x) = (1 + \exp(-x))^{-1}$ is the sigmoid function



Loss (cost) functions:

- MSE
- Cross-entropy
- Cosine similarity

Layers:

- Dense (Fully connected)
- Softmax
- Convolutional

Optimization algorithm + Backpropagation

- Gradient descent (SGD)
- Momentum method
- RMSProp (Hinton)
- Adagrad [56]

Introduction: ML vs DL – Practical Example

• Problem: handwritten digits recognition – USPS dataset [41]

Classifier	Train set	Test err	Reference	
Nearest-neighbor	USPS ⁺	5.9%	(Simard et al., 1993)	
LeNet1	USPS ⁺	5.0%	(LeCun et al., 1989)	
Optimal margin classifier	USPS	4.6%	(Boser et al., 1992)	
SVM	USPS	4.0%	(Schölkopf et al., 1995)	
Linear Hyperplane on KPCA features	USPS	4.0%	(Schölkopf et al., 1998b)	
Local learning	USPS ⁺	3.3%	(Bottou and Vapnik, 1992)	
Virtual SVM	USPS	3.2%	(Schölkopf et al., 1996)	
Virtual SVM, local kernel	USPS	3.0%	(Schölkopf, 1997)	
Boosted neural nets	USPS ⁺	2.6%	(Drucker et al., 1993)	
Tangent distance	USPS ⁺	2.6%	(Simard et al., 1993)	
Human error rate	_	2.5%	(Bromley and Säckinger, 1991)	

Introduction: ML vs DL – Practical Example

• Problem: handwritten digits recognition – MNIST database [29]



- One of the best k-NN results: accuracy 97.73% [28]
- SVMs: accuracy between 98.6% and 99.44% [29]
- Deep Learning: accuracy 99.84% [30]

Introduction: MNIST – DL Classification

• Each image contains 28x28 = 784 pixels



3D representation available: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3JQ3hYko51Y</u>

Introduction: MNIST – Deep Learning Approach

504192 Input:

Segmentation 28x28 greyscale:

Classification:
$$5 \rightarrow 5$$
 $0 \rightarrow 0$ $4 \rightarrow 4$
 $1 \rightarrow 1$ $q \rightarrow 9$ $2 \rightarrow 2$

Source: [43]

Introduction: MNIST – Idea of multiple layers

Extracting local features and combining them to form higher order features

Forcing hidden units to combine only local sources of information.

Distinctive features can appear in multiple locations. *Approximate position* must be preserved to allow the next levels to detect higher order, more complex features

Deep Learning: Universal Approximation Theorem (Cybenko)

"An arbitrary continuous function, defined on [0,1] can be arbitrary well uniformly approximated by a multilayer feed-forward neural network with one hidden layer (that contains only finite number of neurons) using neurons with arbitrary activation functions in the hidden layer and a linear neuron in the output layer."

Sources: [52], [50]

"A feedforward network with a single layer is sufficient to represent any function, but the layer may be infeasibly large and may fail to learn and generalize correctly"

I. Goodfellow [1]

More details, assumption and proofs can be found in [12], [52], [53], [54], [55], [1]

Deep Learning: Using multiple hidden layers

Num Hidden Layers	Result
none	Only capable of representing linear separable functions or decisions.
1	Can approximate any function that contains a continuous mapping from one finite space to another.
2	Can represent an arbitrary decision boundary to arbitrary accuracy with rational activation functions and can approximate any smooth mapping to any accuracy.
>2	Additional layers can learn complex representations (sort of automatic feature engineering) for layer layers.



Architecture of LeNet-5 applied to digits recognition problem. Source: [24]



Gaussian connections or Softmax

- Normalizing predictions
- Defining a loss

Layer		Feature Map	Size	Kernel Size	Stride	Activation
Input	Image	1	32x32	9 	-	-
1	Convolution	6	28x28	5x5	1	tanh
2	Average Pooling	6	14x14	2x2	2	tanh
3	Convolution	16	10x10	5x5	1	tanh
4	Average Pooling	16	5x5	2x2	2	tanh
5	Convolution	120	1x1	5x5	1	tanh
6	FC	-	84	9 7	-	tanh
Output	FC	-	10		-	softmax

LeNet-5 Summarized Architecture. Source: [45]



LeNet-5 Summarized Architecture. Source: [45]



AlexNet Architecture. Source: [13]

Some facts:

- 62.3 million parameters
- 1.1 billion computation units in a forward pass
- Uses ReLU instead of Tanh
 - Fixes vanishing gradient
 - 6-times training speed boost
 - Same accuracy
- Uses Dropout
- Overlap pooling to reduce the size of network



VGGNet Architecture. Source: [14, 46]

Deep Learning: Architectures – R-CNN

- R-CNN regions with CNN Features [47]
 - Solves the variable out length problem for object detection
 - Extremely time-demanding
 - Very slow inference
 - Fixed selective search algorithm
- Fast-R-CNN [15]:
 - MUCH faster inference (up to 20 times compared to R-CNN)
- Faster R-CNN
 - Further dramatic speed improvement (up to 250 times compared to R-CNN)
- Cascade R-CNN [48]

Deep Learning: Architectures

- RNN
 - Generating Image Descriptions with Multimodal Recurrent Neural Network [49]
- LSTM:
 - The foundations laid by Hochreiter and Schmidhuber [10]
- Boltzmann machine
- Autoencoders
- GANs [17]
- DBNs [12]
- AutoML [18], [19], [20]

Deep Learning Tasks

Notable practical applications

- Object Detection
- Semantic Segmentation
- Data Classification
- Data Generation

A comprehensive collection of state-of-the-art drafts/papers: https://paperswithcode.com/sota

Deep Learning Today

Notable practical applications

- Autonomous driving
- Machine translation (NLP)
- Healthcare applications
- Fraud detection
- Search and recommender systems
- Various computer vision and audio-related challenges

Deep Learning in Healthcare

- Microscopy Analysis
- Object detection for medical images
- Tissue segmentation
- MRI segmentation
- Image registration and Medical Image Synthesis
- Drug Design

Deep Learning in Fraud Detection

- Traffic monitoring
- Credit card fraud detection
- Cyber-Network Intrusion Detection
- IoT behavior tracking
- Traffic analysis and fraud detection in telecom

References

- 1. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y. and Courville, A., 2016. Deep learning. MIT press.
- 2. Hebb, D.O., 1949. The organization of behavior: a neuropsychological theory. J. Wiley; Chapman & Hall.
- 3. McCulloch, W.S. and Pitts, W., 1943. A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *The bulletin of mathematical biophysics*, *5*(4), pp.115-133.
- 4. Rosenblatt, F., 1958. The perceptron: a probabilistic model for information storage and organization in the brain. Psychological review, 65(6), p.386.
- 5. Aizerman, M. A. and Braverman, E. M. and Lev I. Rozonoer. Theoretical foundations of the potential function method in pattern recognition learning. Automation and Remote Control, 25:821–837, 1964..
- 6. Widrow, B., 1960. Adaptive" adaline" Neuron Using Chemical" memistors.".
- 7. Fukushima, K., 1975. Cognitron: A self-organizing multilayered neural network. Biological cybernetics, 20(3-4), pp.121-136.
- 8. Rumelhart, D.E., Hinton, G.E. and Williams, R.J., 1986. Learning representations by back-propagating errors. nature, 323(6088), pp.533-536.
- 9. LeCun, Y., Boser, B., Denker, J.S., Henderson, D., Howard, R.E., Hubbard, W. and Jackel, L.D., 1989. Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. Neural computation, 1(4), pp.541-551.

References

10. Hochreiter, S. and Schmidhuber, J., 1997. Long short-term memory. Neural computation, 9(8), pp.1735-1780.

11. LeCun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y. and Haffner, P., 1998. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE, 86(11), pp.2278-2324.

12. Hinton, G.E., Osindero, S. and Teh, Y.W., 2006. A fast learning algorithm for deep belief nets. Neural computation, 18(7), pp.1527-1554.

13. Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I. and Hinton, G.E., 2012. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 1097-1105).

14. Simonyan, K. and Zisserman, A., 2014. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556.

15. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S. and Sun, J., 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 770-778).

16. Zeiler, M.D. and Fergus, R., 2014, September. Visualizing and understanding convolutional networks. In European conference on computer vision (pp. 818-833). Springer, Cham.

17. Goodfellow, I., Pouget-Abadie, J., Mirza, M., Xu, B., Warde-Farley, D., Ozair, S., Courville, A. and Bengio, Y., 2014. Generative adversarial nets. In Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 2672-2680).
18. Zoph, B. and Le, Q.V., 2016. Neural architecture search with reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01578.

19. Tan, M. and Le, Q.V., 2019. Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.11946.

20. Gordon, A., Eban, E., Nachum, O., Chen, B., Wu, H., Yang, T.J. and Choi, E., 2018. Morphnet: Fast & simple resource-constrained structure learning of deep networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (pp. 1586-1595).

22. Zöller, M.A. and Huber, M.F., Benchmark and Survey of Automated Machine Learning Frameworks.

23. Elshawi, R., Maher, M. and Sakr, S., 2019. Automated machine learning: State-of-the-art and open challenges. arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.02287.

24. LeCun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y. and Haffner, P., 1998. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE, 86(11), pp.2278-2324.

27. <u>https://mc.ai/mnist-vs-mnist-how-i-was-able-to-speed-up-my-deep-learning/</u>

28. Grover, D. and Toghi, B., 2019, April. MNIST Dataset Classification Utilizing k-NN Classifier with Modified Sliding-window Metric. In Science and Information Conference (pp. 583-591). Springer, Cham.

29. http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/

30. Byerly, A., Kalganova, T. and Dear, I., 2020. A Branching and Merging Convolutional Network with Homogeneous Filter Capsules. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.09136.

31. Alom, M.Z., Taha, T.M., Yakopcic, C., Westberg, S., Sidike, P., Nasrin, M.S., Hasan, M., Van Essen, B.C., Awwal, A.A. and Asari, V.K., 2019. A state-of-the-art survey on deep learning theory and architectures. Electronics, 8(3), p.292.

32. O'Mahony, N., Campbell, S., Carvalho, A., Harapanahalli, S., Hernandez, G.V., Krpalkova, L., Riordan, D. and Walsh, J., 2019, April. Deep learning vs. traditional computer vision. In Science and Information Conference (pp. 128-144). Springer, Cham.

33. Wang, Y.E., Wei, G.Y. and Brooks, D., 2019. Benchmarking TPU, GPU, and CPU platforms for deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.10701.

34. OpenCV issue: https://github.com/opencv/opencv/issues/11697

35. Aleskerov, F.T., Mitichkin, E.O., Chistyakov, V.V., Shvydun, S.V. and Iakuba, V.I., National Research University Higher School Of Economics (hse), 2019. Method for selecting valid variants in search and recommendation systems (variants). U.S. Patent 10,275,418.

36. Shen, D., Wu, G. and Suk, H.I., 2017. Deep learning in medical image analysis. Annual review of biomedical engineering, 19, pp.221-248.

37. List of startups using AI for drug design: <u>https://blog.benchsci.com/startups-using-artificial-intelligence-in-drug-discovery</u>

38. Pumsirirat, A. and Yan, L., 2018. Credit card fraud detection using deep learning based on auto-encoder and restricted boltzmann machine. International Journal of advanced computer science and applications, 9(1), pp.18-25.

39. Chalapathy, R. and Chawla, S., 2019. Deep learning for anomaly detection: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.03407.

40. Decoste, D. and Schölkopf, B., 2002. Training invariant support vector machines. Machine learning, 46(1-3), pp.161-190.

41. USPS dataset: <u>https://cs.nyu.edu/~roweis/data/usps_all.mat</u>

42. Ramachandran, P., Zoph, B. and Le, Q.V., 2017. Swish: a self-gated activation function. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.05941, 7.

43. Nielsen, M.A., 2015. Neural networks and deep learning (Vol. 2018). San Francisco, CA, USA:: Determination press.

44. Byerly, A., Kalganova, T. and Dear, I., 2020. A Branching and Merging Convolutional Network with Homogeneous Filter Capsules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.09136*.

45. LeNet-5 – A Classic CNN Architecture (Visual explanation of LeNet-5): <u>https://engmrk.com/lenet-5-a-classic-cnn-architecture/</u>

46. VGG16 – Convolutional Network for Classification and Detection: <u>https://neurohive.io/en/popular-networks/vgg16/</u>

47. Girshick, R., Donahue, J., Darrell, T. and Malik, J., 2014. Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 580-587).

48. Cai, Z. and Vasconcelos, N., 2018. Cascade r-cnn: Delving into high quality object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 6154-6162).

49. Karpathy, A. and Fei-Fei, L., 2015. Deep visual-semantic alignments for generating image descriptions. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 3128-3137).

50. Csáji, B.C., 2001. Approximation with artificial neural networks. Faculty of Sciences, Etvs Lornd University, Hungary, 24(48), p.7.

51. Single Layer Perceptron visual representation: <u>https://towardsdatascience.com/multi-layer-neural-networks-with-sigmoid-function-deep-learning-for-rookies-2-bf464f09eb7f</u>

52. G. Cybenko. Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. Mathematics of control, signals and systems, 2(4):303–314, 1989.

53. K. Hornik, M. Stinchcombe, and H. White. Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators. Neural networks, 2(5):359–366, 1989.

54. K. Funahashi. On the approximate realization of continuous mappings by neural networks. Neural networks, 2(3):183–192, 1989.

55. V. Kurková. Kolmogorov's theorem and multilayer neural networks. Neural networks, 5(3): 501–506, 1992.

56. Adagrad: Duchi, J., Hazan, E., & Singer, Y. (2011). Adaptive Subgradient Methods for Online Learning and Stochastic Optimization. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 12, 2121–2159. Retrieved from http://jmlr.org/papers/v12/duchi11a.html

57. <u>https://towardsdatascience.com/a-comprehensive-guide-to-convolutional-neural-networks-the-eli5-way-3bd2b1164a53</u>

Appendix 1: Deep Learning Hardware

			Mem	Mem	Mem Bdw	Peak	
Platform	Unit	Version	Туре	(GB)	(GB/s)	FLOPS	
CPU	1 VM	Skylake	DDR4	120	16.6	2T SP [†]	
GPU	1	V100					
(DGX-1)	Pkg	(SXM2)	HBM2	16	900	125T	
	1 Board						
TPU	(8 cores)	v2	HBM	8	2400	180T	
TPUv3	8 cores	v3	HBM	16	3600*	420T	

[†] Single precision: $2 \text{ FMA} \times 32 \text{ SP} \times 16 \text{ cores} \times 26 \text{ frequency} = 2 \text{ SP TFLOPS}$ * Estimated based on empirical results (Section 4.5).

Source: [33]



LeNet-5 C1 Convolutional Layer. Source: [45]



LeNet-5 S2 Average Pooling Layer. Source: [45]

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\overline{7}$	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
0	Х				Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х
1	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
2	Х	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х			Х		Х	Х	Х
3		Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х			Х		Х	Х
4			Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х
5				Х	х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х

TABLE I

Each column indicates which feature map in S2 are combined

BY THE UNITS IN A PARTICULAR FEATURE MAP OF C3.

LeNet-5 S2 – C3 feature mapping. Source: [45]



LeNet-5 C3 Convolutional Layer. Source: [45]



LeNet-5 S4 Average Pooling Layer. Source: [45]



C5: Fully Connected Layer

LeNet-5 C5 Fully Connected Layer. Source: [45]



C5: Fully Connected Layer

LeNet-5 C5 Fully Connected Layer. Source: [45]



LeNet-5 F6 Fully Connected Layer. Source: [45]

