MISTA 2015

Single machine scheduling: finding the Pareto Set for jobs with equal processing times with respect to criteria L_{max} and C_{max} .

Alexander Lazarev $\,\cdot\,$ Dmitry Arkhipov $\,\cdot\,$ Frank Werner

1 Introduction

In this paper, the special case of the classical NP-hard scheduling problem $1|r_j|L_{max}$ is considered. There is a single machine and a set of jobs $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ to be executed with identical processing times $p_j = p$ for all jobs $j \in N$. We define a *schedule* (or sequence) π as the execution sequence $K_1(\pi), K_2(\pi), ..., K_n(\pi)$, where

$$K_1(\pi) \cup K_2(\pi) \cup \cdots \cup K_n(\pi) \equiv N.$$

The equality $K_i(\pi) = j$ means that job $j \in N$ is executed under the ordinal number *i* in the schedule π . The execution of the job $K_i(\pi) = j$ starts at time

$$R_j(\pi) = \max\{C_{K_{i-1}}(\pi), r_{K_i(\pi)}\}$$

(where $C_{K_0}(\pi) = 0$) and finishes at time

$$R_j(\pi) + p = C_j(\pi),$$

where $C_j(\pi)$ is the *completion time* of the job $j \in N$. Let us denote the *lateness* of job j under the schedule π as

$$L_j(\pi) = C_j(\pi) - d_j.$$

The maximum completion time and the maximum lateness are denoted as C_{max} and L_{max} , respectively. Let us call the schedule π allowable for the set N if all jobs according to the schedule π execute without preemptions and intersections. We denote the set of all

- Russian Federation;
- National Research University Higher School of Economics,Moscow, Russian Federation E-mail: jobmath@mail.ru

- 797 -

Alexander Lazarev

V.A. Trapeznikov Institute of Control Sciences of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russian Federation;

Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation;

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny,

Dmitry Arkhipov

V.A. Trapeznikov Institute of Control Sciences of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russian Federation

E-mail: miptrafter @gmail.com

Frank Werner

Faculty of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematical Optimization, Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg, Germany E-mail: frank.werner@ovgu.de

allowable schedules as Π . The goal is to find a feasible schedule $\pi \in \Pi$, which satisfies the following optimization criterion:

$$\min_{\pi \in \Pi} \max_{j \in N} L_j(\pi).$$

2 The auxiliary problem

Let us formulate an auxiliary problem. We consider the same set of jobs $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and a *bound on the maximum lateness y*. The goal is to construct a schedule satisfying the following optimization criterion:

$$\min_{\pi \in \Pi} \max_{j \in N} C_j(\pi) | L_{\max}(\pi) < y.$$

For each set of due dates d_1, \ldots, d_n and the bound on the lateness y, deadlines D_j can be calculated by the following formula:

$$D_j = d_j + y.$$

An allowable schedule satisfying this restriction is called *feasible*. To construct the solution of the auxiliary problem, we consider the approach presented in [3]. Next, we briefly recall the main idea from this paper.

The **auxiliary** algorithm works as follows. While the completion times of all jobs are lower than its deadlines, schedule the jobs according to the algorithm, presented in [4]. If for any job $X \in N$, the inequality

$$C_X \ge D_X$$

holds, then execute the special procedure CRISIS(X). This procedure finds the job A, which is already scheduled with the latest completion time, but for which

$$D_A > D_X$$

holds. This job is called Pull(X) and all jobs which are already scheduled after Pull(X)and X constitute the restricted set S(A, X]. We define $r_{S(A,X]}$ to be the earliest time when the jobs of S(A, X] can start their execution. The procedure CRISIS(X) reschedules the jobs of the set $\{A\} \cup S(A, X]$. The procedure fails when a job Pull(X) for a crisis job X does not exist. After a successful execution of the procedure CRISIS(X), Schrage's algorithm [4] is used to schedule the jobs. Such a scheduling is repeated until any call of the procedure CRISIS() fails or all jobs from the set N have been successfully scheduled.

3 Solution of the main problem

Next, we consider the main problem $1|r_j, p_j = p|L_{max}$. We also present an algorithm to obtain the Pareto set of schedules with respect to the criteria L_{max} and C_{max} . First, we introduce a procedure $CHECK(\pi, N, y)$ which constructs the schedule π^* as follows.

 $CHECK(\pi, N, y)$

- 1. Set the lateness bound y and a time $t = \min_{i \in N} r_i$.
- 2. Set the deadlines $D_i := d_i + y$.
- 3. If all jobs from the set N have been scheduled, go to step 7.
- 4. While t is not in the interval $[r_{S(A,X]}, D_X)$ for any restricted set S(A, X] from the schedule π that has not yet been completely performed, execute the jobs under π^* according to Schrage's algorithm.
- 5. Otherwise, execute only the jobs from the set S(A, X] under the schedule π , and then go to step 3.

6. If in steps 4-5 any job Y experiences a crisis, run the procedure CRISIS(Y).
7. return(π*).

Lemma 1 Let π and π' be the schedules constructed by the auxiliary algorithm for the bounds y and y', respectively, and

$$\pi^* = CHECK(\pi, N, y).$$

 $\pi^* = \pi'.$

If y < y', then

holds.

Next, we describe the main algorithm M to obtain the Pareto set with respect to the criteria L_{max} and C_{max} .

MAIN ALGORITHM (Algorithm M)

- 1. Set the bound $y_0 := +\infty$.
- 2. Construct the schedule π_1 according to the auxiliary algorithm, and add it to Φ , i.e.: $\Phi := {\pi_1}$; set the counter k := 1; set the bound $y_1 := L_{max}(\pi_1)$.
- 3. Construct the schedule $\pi_{k+1} = CHECK(\pi_k, N, y_k)$.
 - a) If the schedule CHECK(π_k, N, y) exists, then: add π_{k+1} to the set Φ, i.e.: Φ := Φ ∪ π_k; set y_k = L_{max}(π_k); increase the counter k, i.e.: k := k + 1; repeat step 3.
 b) Otherwise, return(Φ).

At last, we formulate and prove some important lemmas and a theorem, which show that algorithm M finds the Pareto set Φ in $O(n^2 \log n)$ operations.

Lemma 2 If any job becomes a crisis job for the second time, then the algorithm stops.

Theorem 1 After the execution of Algorithm M, the Pareto set of schedules Φ according to the criteria L_{max} and C_{max} has been constructed, where the schedules Φ_1 and $\Phi_{|\Phi|}$ are optimal according to criteria L_{max} and C_{max} respectively. For this set

 $|\Phi| \le n+1$

holds.

Lemma 3 The complexity of Algorithm M is $O(n^2 \log n)$.

4 Metric analysis

The metric ρ for the instances of problem $1|r_j|L_{max}$ was introduced in [5]. We estimate a metric distance $\rho^p(A)$ between an arbitrary instance A which holds $p_1^A \leq \cdots \leq p_n^A$ and a set of polynomial solvable instances with the identical processing times of jobs as:

$$\rho^{p}(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{[(n-1)/2]} p_{n-i+1}^{A} - p_{i}^{A}.$$

The prove that estimated bound is tight and present a polynomial algorithm to find the instance B for an arbitrary instance A which satisfy

$$\rho(A,B) = \rho^p(A).$$

The results of numerical experiments are also presented.

References

- 1. Kravchenko, S.A. and Werner, F.: Parallel Machine Problems with Equal Processing Times. *Journal of Scheduling*. Vol. 14, No. 5, 2011, 435 - 444.
- Garey, M.R.; Johnson, D.S.; Simons, B.B. and Tarjan, R.E.: Scheduling unit-time tasks with arbitrary release times and deadlines. SIAM J. COMPUT. Vol. 10, No. 2, May 1981, 256 - 269.
- Simons, B.B.: A fast algorithm for single processor scheduling. In 19th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (Ann Arbor, Mich., 1978), 246-252.
 Scheme L. Scheme Dermer Contrained Network Dermeting Network (Science) (Annual Science) (Science) (Sc
- 4. Schrage, L.: Solving Resource-Constrained Network Problems by Implicit Enumeration: Non Preemptive Case. *Operations Research*. Vol. 18 Issue 2, 1970, 263 278.
- Lazarev, A.A.: The Pareto-optimal set of the NP-hard problem of minimization of the maximum lateness for a single machine. *Journal of Computer and Systems Sciences International. M.:* SP MAIK Nauka/Interperiodica. 2006. 45, No. 6. 943-949.